

Message# 149 - 7-25-2021 - The Faith Once Delivered to the Saints - Hate your Parents!

Preached first on 7/25/2021 on www.molibertyradio.us

Good morning everyone. Thank you for tuning in to the broadcast this morning.

Let me remind you again that we have reserved the Maranatha Bible Camp and Retreat Center again this fall - from what the world calls October 28 through the 31st. This will be our third Kingdom Conference and I hope you are making plans to attend. It will be here before you know it. I've only heard from a hand-full of people letting us know they are planning to attend. Please let us know. For our last two conferences, it seems like most people waited until almost conference time to let us know they are coming. And, as they say, "better late than never" but we're a small group - and "better early than never" is a more appropriate choice. So if you can just let us know - even if you aren't sure - but you think you might be coming - we would appreciate it.

Alright. I have some more to report to you from what is going on with Chris in the Wisconsin jail. And since my ministry is trying to get to where the rubber meets the road - I'm not interested in pie-in-the-sky, spiritual matters do not affect the physical - but rather the complete opposite - the spiritual affects - changes - alters - controls the physical - or it is worthless - it means nothing. No one cares - or should care - what someone believes - if what they say they believe does not affect their life. I've said this a thousand times - what difference does it make what someone says they believe - if the lifestyle of an atheist is the same as a Baptist - what difference does it make what the Baptist claims he believes?

Let's get the atheist, the Baptist, the Catholic, the Pentecostal, the Methodist, the Christian Identity - let's get them all together. And we'll sit at a table - and we'll ask them to all get their billfolds out and lay the contents on the table - and let's see if there is any difference. Would they all have documents identifying themselves as U.S. citizens? Would they all have social security cards and credit cards? Would any of them have any kind of a document that says they are not a citizen of the world - but they are a citizen of the Commonwealth of Israel? I wonder if they would have their proof of vaccination cards? Hopefully, some wouldn't. But then again, the atheist might not have one.

This is what I mean. It doesn't matter what someone claims they believe - if their lifestyle does not reflect their belief system.

If Abraham were alive today - would he have documents identifying him as a U.S. citizen? The father of the nation of Israel? Would he have documents identifying him as anything other than a citizen of Israel? I doubt he would have any documents at all - well maybe I shouldn't say that - I don't know - but if we believe he would identify as anything other than Israel - the nation of God - we are fooling no one but ourselves.

In the Baptist "church" - maybe - I don't think we sang this song because it might just be a little too controversial - in fact - I don't think we did sing it - and I'm trying to remember where my kids heard it - might have been Veggie Tales - I don't know - the little kids might have been taught the song - "Father Abraham had many sons, Many sons had Father Abraham, I am one of them and so are you, So let's just praise the Lord."

Well, if you have accepted Christ as the King of your life - meaning you have been fully immersed into Christ - you are fully placed into Christ - the old man is dead - a new man created and reborn into the Kingdom of Christ - then you can trace your lineage - all the way back to Abraham - because Abraham's faith - Romans 4:16 - alright - slow down just a little - let's look at these verses. Romans 4:16. Sometimes I just go off quoting thing kind of taking for granted that everyone else knows them - and that may not be the case. Begin in verse 1 of Romans 4:

[1] What shall we say then that Abraham our father, as pertaining to the flesh, hath found?

[2] For if Abraham were justified by works, he hath whereof to glory; but not before God.

[3] For what saith the scripture? Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness.

[4] Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt.

[5] But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness.

[6] Even as David also describeth the blessedness of the man, unto whom God imputeth righteousness without works,

[7] Saying, Blessed are they whose iniquities are forgiven, and whose sins are covered.

[8] Blessed is the man to whom the Lord will not impute sin.

[9] Cometh this blessedness then upon the circumcision only, or upon the uncircumcision also? for we say that faith was reckoned to Abraham for righteousness.

[10] How was it then reckoned? when he was in circumcision, or in uncircumcision? Not in circumcision, but in uncircumcision.

[11] And he received the sign of circumcision, a seal of the righteousness of the faith which he had yet being uncircumcised: that he might be the father of all them that believe, though they be not circumcised; that righteousness might be imputed unto them also:

[12] And the father of circumcision to them who are not of the circumcision only, but who also walk in the steps of that faith of our father Abraham, which he had being yet uncircumcised.

[13] For the promise, that he should be the heir of the world, was not to Abraham, or to his seed, through the law, but through the righteousness of faith.

[14] For if they which are of the law be heirs, faith is made void, and the promise made of none effect:

[15] Because the law worketh wrath: for where no law is, there is no transgression.

[16] Therefore it is of faith, that it might be by grace; to the end the promise might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of the law, but to that also which is of the faith of Abraham; who is the father of us all,

[17] (As it is written, I have made thee a father of many nations,) before him whom he believed, even God, who quickeneth the dead, and calleth those things which be not as though they were.

[18] Who against hope believed in hope, that he might become the father of many nations; according to that which was spoken, So shall thy seed be.

[19] And being not weak in faith, he considered not his own body now dead, when he was about an hundred years old, neither yet the deadness of Sara's womb:

[20] He staggered not at the promise of God through unbelief; but was strong in faith, giving glory to God;

[21] And being fully persuaded that, what he had promised, he was able also to perform.

[22] And therefore it was imputed to him for righteousness.

[23] Now it was not written for his sake alone, that it was imputed to him;

[24] But for us also, to whom it shall be imputed, if we believe on him that raised up Jesus our Lord from the dead;

[25] Who was delivered for our offences, and was raised again for our justification.

Flip over to Galatians chapter 3. Begin there in verse 1:

[1] O foolish Galatians, who hath bewitched you, that ye should not obey the truth, before whose eyes Jesus Christ hath been evidently set forth, crucified among you?

[2] This only would I learn of you, Received ye the Spirit by the works of the law, or by the hearing of faith?

[3] Are ye so foolish? having begun in the Spirit, are ye now made perfect by the flesh?

[4] Have ye suffered so many things in vain? if it be yet in vain.

[5] He therefore that ministereth to you the Spirit, and worketh miracles among you, doeth he it by the works of the law, or by the hearing of faith?

[6] Even as Abraham believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness.

[7] Know ye therefore that they which are of faith, the same are the children of Abraham.

[8] And the scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through faith, preached before the gospel unto Abraham, saying, In thee shall all nations be blessed.

[9] So then they which be of faith are blessed with faithful Abraham.

But if we know what this means - not just the lyrics to a children's song - we know that the faith of Abraham was based on the testimony written concerning Abraham - Genesis 26:5. Faith is what? It is a system of belief. It's not a system of works - it is a system of belief - and when it is truly a system of belief - it changes a person's life. If the life does not reflect the belief system - then the belief system is meaningless.

If I believe something - it's going to be seen in my life. What was the belief system of Abraham - who is supposedly the father of all Christianity? Genesis 26. Please turn there, and begin in verse 1.

[1] And there was a famine in the land, beside the first famine that was in the days of Abraham. And Isaac went unto Abimelech king of the Philistines unto Gerar.

[2] And the LORD appeared unto him, and said, Go not down into Egypt; dwell in the land which I shall tell thee of:

[3] Sojourn in this land, and I will be with thee, and will bless thee; for unto thee, and unto thy seed, I will give all these countries, and I will perform the oath which I sware unto Abraham thy father;

[4] And I will make thy seed to multiply as the stars of heaven, and will give unto thy seed all these countries; and in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed;

[5] Because that Abraham obeyed my voice, and kept my charge, my commandments, my statutes, and my laws.

Please understand that this is long before the days of Samuel. There is possibly 1,000 years between Abraham and Samuel. In the days of Abraham, God had not allowed Israel to have the kings like all the other nations. Abraham was a nation - he was the leader of the nation of God. The Bible does not specifically say so - but I believe it would not be error to associate the word king - with Abraham. Flip back to Genesis 14. Look at 18 through 20.

[18] And Melchizedek king of Salem brought forth bread and wine: and he was the priest of the most high God.

[19] And he blessed him, and said, Blessed be Abram of the most high God, possessor of heaven and earth:

[20] And blessed be the most high God, which hath delivered thine enemies into thy hand. And he gave him tithes of all.

There is some discussion that could be had here as to whether Melchizedek paid tithes to Abraham. I believe he did. And Abraham accepted them - as opposed to Abraham refusing anything from king of Sodom. If you continue with verse 21;

[21] And the king of Sodom said unto Abram, Give me the persons, and take the goods to thyself.

[22] And Abram said to the king of Sodom, I have lift up mine hand unto Yahweh, the most high God, the possessor of heaven and earth,

[23] That I will not take from a thread even to a shoelatchet, and that I will not take any thing that is thine, lest thou shouldest say, I have made Abram rich:

[24] Save only that which the young men have eaten, and the portion of the men which went with me, Aner, Eshcol, and Mamre; let them take their portion.

My point is here that Abraham lived as an independent nation - later known as Israel. Abraham would have had things in his possession from other Godly "kings" like Melchizedek - but he absolutely refused to have things in his possession from the wicked.

We all want the nice little things - like the songs about father Abraham - and most all the religions will claim it - even the Muslims will claim Abraham - but the truth is - God blessed Abraham because Abraham committed his life to the belief in - and the consequential application of the Laws of God over his nation. That's what the faith of Abraham was - and Paul made it very clear - we are either of the faith of our father Abraham - if we are in Christ - but if not - Christ made it very clear - others are of their father the devil.

And again - look at the clear distinction. The devil - Matthew 4 - taketh Christ into an exceeding high mountain to show and offer Him the what? The "kingdoms of this world." This is the same thing as the king of Sodom's interaction with Abraham in Genesis 14 - and Abraham - just like Christ said - "No thanks." We are talking about two different worlds, separate "nations" - I'm telling you that if Abraham was offered citizenship in other thing other than the Commonwealth of Israel - he would have refused.

The faith of Abraham would not have allowed him to become a citizen of the world.

In order for that to happen - in order for the faith of Abraham to be changed to allow for citizenship in the world - then a monumental change would have to take place. I do not believe that a change took place. I believe that an affirmation took place.

Confirming the faith of Abraham. Declaring total allegiance to the God of Abraham - the King and His Kingdom.

But - the religious world - has said there has been a monumental change. Jesus came to change the faith of Abraham, to abrogate, to replace, to alter, to change the Laws of God that made up the system of belief - the faith of Abraham - and Jesus changed it all. This is a lie from the pit of hell. This is the doctrine of devils and we're going to continuing exposing it today.

But back to Chris from Wisconsin. I received an email early this week from someone saying they are the daughter - now I'm making an assumption here because I responded to the email for clarification - but have not received a reply as yet. But the email claimed to be from someone who was living in the same house with Chris when the events took place that have ended up landing him in a jail in Wisconsin.

The description of the events were pretty much the opposite of what Chris told me

happened. I need to let you know that about 2 years prior to me ever meeting Chris, I was told about Chris's story from another man in Wisconsin who is a good friend of Chris's. When I finally did meet Chris, when he told me his side of the story - it was the same as what this other man had previously said to me.

I want you to understand that my main argument in all of this - is not necessarily Chris's innocence or guilt - it has been the sentence. Under the Law of God - even if Chris was 100% totally in the wrong - the punishment is not jail. It is restitution. Restitution to a victim.

In the email that was sent to me by the person claiming to be an eye-witness of what happened, she said there was blood, the man that Chris hit should have had stitches - but he refused to go have them. That tells me we are still not talking about real damages - even if that part of the story is correct. Chris maintains that he acted in self-defense.

There were more details stated in the email - and I'm not going to talk about them publicly until I get a chance to speak with Chris about them and hear his side of the story. I said all that to say this - if we - as followers of Christ - are going to be bound to the Laws of God - even among a world that couldn't care less about God or His Law - especially when dealing with one another concerning offenses - and rest assured - even if we were in Ecclesia - offenses will happen - we cannot "take the fifth."

Under the U.S. CONstitution - the fifth amendment is another glaring example of sticking a fist in the face of God and saying - "We will not have this Man to rule over us." When I quote that passage, that phrase - understand that is what the Pharisees said when they were told that Christ was King.

"We will not have this Man to rule over us." That means they rejected the teachings of Christ concerning the way things are supposed to be.

"Full well you reject the commands of God, so that you may keep your own traditions - and many other such like things you do."

And Christ said, well, look at it. We have the time. People need to see these things, see what Christ said. Don't take my word for it - look at it - Mark 7. Let's begin in verse 1. This is one of the many - many - reasons why the Pharisees and the scribes - the Herodians - the Caesar worshipers - this is one of many reasons why they hated Christ

and ultimately said, "We will not have this man to reign over us."

[1] Then came together unto him the Pharisees, and certain of the scribes, which came from Jerusalem.

[2] And when they saw some of his disciples eat bread with defiled, that is to say, with unwashen, hands, they found fault.

I am not going to spend a great deal of time this morning with verse 2 - but I would ask you to do your own study here. Begin with looking up the Greek word for "unwashen" as it is found in this verse. Now verse 3.

[3] For the Pharisees, and all the Jews, except they wash their hands oft, eat not, holding the tradition of the elders.

Now, look at the Greek word for wash as it is found here.

[4] And when they come from the market, except they wash, they eat not. And many other things there be, which they have received to hold, as the washing of cups, and pots, brasen vessels, and of tables.

Again, look up the word for wash here and the word for washing as they are found here.

[5] Then the Pharisees and scribes asked him, Why walk not thy disciples according to the tradition of the elders, but eat bread with unwashen hands?

[6] He answered and said unto them, Well hath Esaias prophesied of you hypocrites, as it is written, This people honoureth me with their lips, but their heart is far from me.

[7] Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men.

[8] For laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men, as the washing of pots and cups: and many other such like things ye do.

Look up the word washing here. Those are not the subject of this message - I am getting to the principles contained in the next verses.

[9] And he said unto them, Full well ye reject the commandment of God, that ye may keep your own tradition.

[10] For Moses said, Honour thy father and thy mother; and, Whoso curseth father or mother, let him die the death:

[11] But ye say, If a man shall say to his father or mother, It is Corban, that is to say, a gift, by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me; he shall be free.

[12] And ye suffer him no more to do ought for his father or his mother;

[13] Making the word of God of none effect through your tradition, which ye have delivered: and many such like things do ye.

Under the Laws of God - if a man is accused of violating the Laws of God - he is obligated to answer - and let me qualify - in the courts of God. When the court is a Godly, Biblical, court of the Ecclesia - when we are dealing brother with brother - citizen of the Commonwealth of Israel - we can't say "We have the "right" to remain silent." We have an obligation to get to the truth - the whole truth. When we are wrong - admit it. Turn to Proverbs 28. Look at verse 1.

[1] The wicked flee when no man pursueth: but the righteous are bold as a lion.

[2] For the transgression of a land many are the princes thereof: but by a man of understanding and knowledge the state thereof shall be prolonged.

[3] A poor man that oppresseth the poor is like a sweeping rain which leaveth no food.

[4] They that forsake the law praise the wicked: but such as keep the law contend with them.

[5] Evil men understand not judgment: but they that seek the LORD understand all things.

[6] Better is the poor that walketh in his uprightness, than he that is perverse in his ways, though he be rich.

[7] Whoso keepeth the law is a wise son: but he that is a companion of riotous men shameth his father.

[8] He that by usury and unjust gain increaseth his substance, he shall gather it for him that will pity the poor.

[9] He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination.

[10] Whoso causeth the righteous to go astray in an evil way, he shall fall himself into his own pit: but the upright shall have good things in possession.

[11] The rich man is wise in his own conceit; but the poor that hath understanding searcheth him out.

[12] When righteous men do rejoice, there is great glory: but when the wicked rise, a man is hidden.

[13] He that covereth his sins shall not prosper: but whoso confesseth and forsaketh them shall have mercy.

In the Ecclesia, in the courts of God in the Commonwealth of Israel - if we are accused of sin or of hurting someone or their property - we must tell the truth - the whole truth. The citizens of the U.S. claim their 5th Amendment as a bedrock of their faith - their system of belief - that determines how they will live - but among us - it cannot be so.

I am not saying what I am saying because I am accusing Chris of not telling the truth or the whole truth - not at all. I'm not sure why my email response has not been answered - and some of the questions I asked - when answered - would help me. They have not been answered. There's an old saying, not even sure where it came from - and of course - it does not always apply - but it says "Silence is consent." I hope for an answer to my questions - and if it doesn't come - then we'll be left to make our own judgements in the matter.

But, again, I have said this before, my arguments against what has been done to Chris have not been based on his guilt or innocence - I have even said - "Even if was guilty - and yes - I said I still do not believe so - but even if he was guilty - he should not be in a jail. He should pay restitution to the victim - if there was in fact - a damaged party."

My ministry, as you know, has been for nearly 40 years now - trying to call people out of the "kingdoms of this world" and into the Kingdom of Christ. I've preached and preached and preached. I've tried as hard as I can to live what I preach. I've not taught against citizenship in the world - while being a citizen in the world. I don't have documents identifying me as a citizen of the world - I don't participate with the world and their "governments." So, I haven't preached one thing and done another.

I've pleaded with people to look at what their worldly citizenship says - and ultimately what it does.

"We the people of the united states of America" ---- that is not a good thing. "We the people" means the sodomites, the queers, the freaks, the perverts, the Pelosi's, the Bidens, the Hyena's, the Trumps, the McConnells - on and on - that's the "We the people" and everything that is done in the U.S. - the masks, the "vaccines", the drag queens at the library, all the things that some people complain about - they are all done in the name of "We the people."

People in the midwest love to complain about the people in California - or the people in New York - but those people are part of the "united" states of America. "We the people" - "we the people of the united states of America" - whether a person likes it or not - if they lay claim to being part of "we the people" - then it is guilt by association. All the evil that is done in the U.S. is done in the name of "the people."

And there is no one sitting there holding a gun to the head of anyone in this country demanding they be a part of "we the people." (At least not yet). That is by choice. It is by consent. The consent of the "governed." I'll grant - absolutely - positively - it is not informed consent. It is in most cases - consent by deception. The deception is everywhere. They have their deception sewn up nice and neat to where almost everyone out there believes they have no choice but to be a part of "we the people."

I've pleaded with people on the basis of this "We the people" argument in trying to get people to Come out from among them and be separate. We have certainly reached some people over the years. Are people flocking to the message? Of course not. I wish they would. I hold out hope they will. But, no, people are not flocking to the message of coming out from the world - come out from worldly citizenship into Citizenship of the Commonwealth of Israel.

I have shared with people how that in my trials - it was blazingly clear - that the men and women running the "government" in the U.S. absolutely believe they owned me. And it angered me - really made me angry that I have lived my entire life separate from them - I've done absolutely nothing - to align myself with them. I have not voted in their elections. I have not sought out or possessed their documents. I have done nothing to consent to be a part of "we the people." I can take you back to messages that I preached all the way back in the 80s and 90s where I was preaching against the U.S. CONstitution, preaching against citizenship in the world - then here they come - after all these years - and do everything they can do to try make me out as one of theirs - when my entire life has been lived contrary to that - and there is overwhelming evidence establishing these facts.

But that's what is going on. And their false assumptions towards me - were because that is what they truly believe about everyone that happens to live on this soil.

This past week I caught a bit of video from the secretary of the Health and Human Services Department of the U.S. He came right out and said exactly what I am saying. He made it very clear the position of the U.S. "government" in regards to its citizens.

Listen to this. This is Xavier Becerra when being interviewed on CNN about the quote “vaccine.” It begins with a couple guys talking about Biden's door to door plans to make sure people that haven't taken the jab - will be “encouraged” to take it. These guys talk for a minute - and they are talking resistance - for which I'm glad - but then listen as it gets back to Becerra.

“We are America, we try to give people as much freedom as possible...”

Really, it's the “government's” job to “give people freedom...” Then, comes the next word - “but” - the word “but” means forget about everything I just said - here's what I really want to say.

This is what they believe. In the U.S., “freedom” comes from what the people's “government” wants to give them. They determine the amount of freedom people have because the U.S. “government” thinks they own the people, they own the land, they own the air, they own the water. They think they are the owners of everything they have been empowered to believe this - basically by one thing - and that is the false “preachers of the churches” - who have told them that God has Ordained them to be in their places - they have the God ordained power to define good and evil - and they have the God Ordained power to force people to obey their definitions of good and evil (embodied in their laws and statues) - and if men resist - then they are authorized by God Himself to terrorize anyone who does not comply.

That's what the religious people in the so-called “church” believe - that's their system of belief - and that is what is occurring today. Their faith - results in the way their society is run.

Today, they are trying to reason with people. 600,000 people have needlessly died from Covid. We have a vaccine that will stop it. It is unreasonable for you not to obey our demands that you take this jab. God has ordained all of what we do - and you are resisting God by not complying.

Even if - it isn't - but even if - Romans 13 applied to them - and again - it does not - Romans 13 is not about any “government” on this earth not named the Commonwealth of Israel and living according to the system of belief of its father - Abraham - Romans 13 says that Government is in place to do 2 things - and 2 things only. Praise good and punish evil.

So - how did the “church” and the “churchmen” twist that? They said that Jesus came to completely unravel the faith of Abraham. Obeying the statutes of men - is - how - in the New Covenant World - we obey the Commandments of God.

How have they taken the pure Word of God and twisted into such devilish lies?

Over the last several weeks, I have shown you how they took things such as “turn the other cheek, an eye for an eye, go the extra mile, resist not evil,” and several others - and I have shown you how those things did not mean what we think - or really what we have been told they mean - they didn't mean the same things back then - as they do today.

Open your Bibles please to Exodus 20. I want to show you again - how things do not mean the same thing today as they did back then - and unless and until we get back to the “faith once delivered to the saints” - until we believe things the same way they did - we are not going to realize the Christian life the way we should.

But we have airplanes, and cars, and electricity, and the internet and so many things that they didn't have in the first century. Yes, exactly. Because things around us have changed - we have allowed the religious world to adapt like chameleons to the point that today - most people who think they are Christians - they are not - they are churchians - and the faith they possess - resembles absolutely nothing of the faith once delivered to the saints.

It doesn't matter how much times have changed. It doesn't matter that the world we live in resembles nothing of the first century - or even further back to the days of Abraham - it doesn't matter that those things have changed - the faith of Abraham must be possessed the same today as it was when he possessed it. It must be the same today as when Isaiah possessed it. It must be the same today as when John the Baptist possessed it. Peter, James, John, Paul. Our faith - our system of belief - which will be fulfilled and shown in our lives - it has to be the same faith they had.

It is the faith - the system of belief - that says we are Citizens of the Commonwealth of Israel and we will live that way regardless of the circumstances around us. There is nothing - absolutely nothing in the pure Word of God that tells followers of Christ to forsake their Godly heritage - and bow down to and serve and obey the devils in the world today. That is the most Godless thing I've ever heard in my entire life. I will fight against that - RESIST THAT EVIL - with everything I have inside of me. Exodus 20,

beginning in verse 1. We will read here - thinking that these Words have application and meaning today. Though, soon, we will find out that Jesus completely unraveled these Laws - did away with them completely - changed them - and actually demands the exact opposite of what was said in Exodus 20. So hang on.

[1] And God spake all these words, saying,

[2] I am Yahweh thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage.

[3] Thou shalt have no other gods before me.

At least until I send My Son to remove this Law.

[4] Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth:

At least until I send My Son to remove this Law. That's in the text, right there, isn't it? I mean, I think if they can say Submit to king George as supreme - then we should go ahead and tell it like it is. God was speaking these but meaning eventually that Jesus would come and do away with these things and replace them with Obey men - so that in obeying them - you are actually obeying Me. Verse 5.

[5] Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I Yahweh thy God am a jealous God,

But only until I send my Son. In that day, I will stop being a jealous God. I will stop demanding allegiance to Me - but will tell my Son to tell you that I am changing all this. All these things I have said will now mean the exact opposite.

visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me;

[6] And shewing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments.

But only until I send My Son to remove these sayings. Men's commandments will replace My Commandments. When you obey men's commandments, when you submit to them - in order to win them to Jesus - you will then be loving Me and keeping My

commandments.

[7] Thou shalt not take the name of Yahweh thy God in vain; for Yahweh will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain.

[8] Remember the sabbath day, to keep it holy.

[9] Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:

[10] But the seventh day is the sabbath of Yahweh thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates:

[11] For in six days Yahweh made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore Yahweh blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it.

[12] Honour thy father and thy mother: that thy days may be long upon the land which Yahweh thy God giveth thee.

These things are to be done until I send My Son - Jesus Christ - Yeshua the Christ and He will change these things. He will alter them. He will change Thou shalt have no other gods before Me - to Render unto Caesar, to submit to king George, to obey every human institution - Jesus will make these changes. But until then

Honour thy father and thy mother: that thy days may be long upon the land which Yahweh thy God giveth thee.

Nearly Word of Word, these sayings, these old world suggestions, these temporary guidelines were repeated again in Deuteronomy chapter 5.

Take your Bibles please and turn to Ephesians chapter 6. Let's begin in verse 1. I would hope that everyone listening to me this morning has this memorized. It is the rock - it is a rock - upon which Christianity - true Christianity is built upon.

Children, obey your parents in the Lord: for this is right.

[2] Honour thy father and mother; (which is the first commandment with promise;)

[3] That it may be well with thee, and thou mayest live long on the earth.

You know what? I have to tell you something. I did not know until I was preparing this message that the "honour" as we found it in Exodus 20 and in Deuteronomy 5 - the exact word - has two completely opposite meanings in the Hebrew. It can be

understood in a bad sense and it can be understood in a good sense.

So all the while reading Exodus 20 and Deuteronomy 5 where we see God telling people to Honour their parents - in the Hebrew - He could have actually been saying the exact opposite. But, friends, any idiot - any knowledge hesitant - intellectually challenged individual can read from the context of the passage and see which usage of the Hebrew word - kawbad or kawbade - He wanted us to understand here. Another usage of the word Honour - in the bad sense - was used when He talked about annihilating Pharaoh. When we get to Ephesians 6 - which is a repeat of Exodus 20 - the Greek word for Honour is to revere - exactly like what a normal person would think - I mean normal as in one that has not been perverted by "church" - God is telling people to revere their parents, children obey your parents - and of course - it's clarified in the Word - Obey your parents in the Lord - children - just like every other position of "authority" in the world - "in the Lord" means when they are functioning according to the Authority of God. It's not just obey your parents - it's obey your parents in the Lord - not in spite of the Lord. Not just because they are biologically your parents - you obey when God Ordained Authority - when men and women functioning in the Ecclesia - according to the Laws of God - when they direct you to obey God - then you Obey them. This is not hard. This is the whole meaning of Godly Authority in the New World.

This is what happened when Christ rejected the devil's offer in Matthew 4. He brought down the system of the Old World where God allowed the nations to walk in their own ways. The CURSE of the divine right of kings - was obliterated. Christ destroyed that awful doctrine of devils that God allowed at one time - because men rebelled against Him being their King. But friends - He sent His Son - to redeem the people from their sin. To redeem them from the awful choice of rejecting God as King. He became King - the ONLY KING - allowed to exist in the New World - He put down ALL RULE, He put down ALL AUTHORITY - and now - in the New Covenant World - anyone - any ruler - any authority - any power - that is not functioning according to the Kingship of Christ is to be resisted. We owe our allegiance to - There is No other name Given under Heaven - and that means NO OTHER AUTHORITY - in the name of - just like it means today - it means in the Authority of. In the New World - once the King came - there is NO OTHER NAME GIVEN UNDER HEAVEN - there is none else beside Him - there is One King, One Potentate, the King of kings and Lord of lords.

Children, obey your parents in the Lord. Is no different a command that for anyone else at any other age.

While we are here in Ephesians, turn back to chapter 5. Let's begin in verse 22.

[22] Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord.

Notice again here, the admonition is just like the one given to children in chapter 6. The submission is qualified. It is not - submission is NEVER - no matter what the false "church" and the "churchmen" say - submission is NEVER unqualified. Children obey in the Lord. Wives, submit - as unto the Lord. It's not submit with no regard whatsoever for the Lord. It's submit as unto the Lord. As you are obeying the Lord. The Lord will never demand you submit to things contrary to His Law. Never. And anyone who says otherwise is a false teacher.

[23] For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the Ecclesia: and he is the saviour of the body.

The Shepherd leads His flock. The Shepherd does not lead His flock to the wolves. The Shepherd keeps His flock safe from the wolves. The Shepherd does not lead His flock to the wolves and demands the sheep to obey and submit to the wolves. What kind of a crazy, mixed up teacher would possibly come up with such a conclusion? I'm going to show you in just a few more minutes. It's a teacher that does not know the Word from the beginning to the end. It is a teacher that does not understand the Lordship of Christ - the Kingship of Christ - the end of the Old World and the establishment of the New World - it's double-mindedness and its instability in all its ways. Verse 24.

[24] Therefore as the Ecclesia is subject unto Christ, so let the wives be to their own husbands in every thing.

The Ecclesia - the Kingdom of God - the called out Christian community - the Commonwealth of Israel - is SUBJECT UNTO CHRIST. This is not hard. This is not difficult to understand. How in the world people can conclude that Christ's Kingship basically devolves into telling His followers to obey everyone else but Him - or that obeying everyone else but Him - is actually obeying Him - just makes no sense at all. Verse 25.

[25] Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the Ecclesia, and gave Himself for it;

[26] That he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word,

Side note. Remember Mark 7? Look up the Word washing here, too. Verse 27.

[27] That he might present it to himself a glorious Ecclesia, not having spot, or wrinkle, or any such thing; but that it should be holy and without blemish.

[28] So ought men to love their wives as their own bodies. He that loveth his wife loveth himself.

Does any listening to my voice today - question what God meant when He commanded that we Honour our parents? Now again, don't forget, don't get too hasty here. The Hebrew word for honour as found in Exodus 20 and Deuteronomy 5 can go either way.

But what about when we find it repeated again - pretty much Word for Word from Ephesians 6? The Greek word means exactly what we thought it meant. It makes no mistake as to what God was intending in Exodus 20 and Deuteronomy 5. We are to honour our parents - in the Lord. Revere. It is a good thing.

From Ephesians chapter 5. Paul is commanding that men love their wives. He is commanding that wives submit to the Godly Authority - not the power - not without qualification - they are to submit to the Godly Authority of their husbands.

Come on, now, is this hard? Are these things deep, dark, theological secrets that we can't ever really know the answers to? Absolutely not. This is as simple as it gets. Clear as can be. Nothing hidden. Nothing deep. Bedrock - solid Biblical - purely Christian teachings.

I believe the same things are to be understood regarding “turn the other cheek, resist not evil, go the extra mile, render unto Caesar.” Jesus Christ, Yeshua the Christ, His apostles - they did not change the Law of God. They did not take the understandings that God's people are to obey His commandments, His Laws, they didn't take those things and believe that the teachings of Christ turned all those things upside down.

Christ didn't mean for His Words concerning “resist not evil” - He didn't mean that for men to begin to teach He was telling people to submit to evil. He didn't mean that to say that I Peter 2 - the king mentioned there - was the emperor Caesar - or in the 1700s it meant king George as supreme.

How in the world does the “church” and the “churchmen” come up with such ridiculous concepts?

Last week, I showed you how we do not - although I hope that changed after last week -

we do not understand what the word enemy meant in Bible times - and we do not know what the word hate meant in Bible times. And because of that - which comes about from a failure to study - to try to reason why something seems to say one thing - but appears to be a contradiction - a failure to seek it out until it all reconciles with the Kingdom of Christ - that's how those things have happened.

A desire to revive and perpetuate doctrines of devils - the divine right of kings - or presidents - or congressmen - a failure to seek out and search for meanings to words such as ordinance as found in I Peter 2 - when men try to find their answers from a false paradigm - from a perspective that God still allows earthly "governments" in the New World - that's how those things happen.

Exodus 20 says we are to honour our parents. Ephesians 6 says the same thing. Ephesians 5 says we are to love our wives. These are good, Godly things. The Old World books have much to say about men who love their wives and what a great thing that is.

But, I'm afraid I have to tell you that Jesus - well - not our Jesus - but some Jesus - the Jesus of the "church" came to unravel all of that. He unraveled the Law of God. Replaced it. Changed it. In closing, let's turn to Luke chapter 14.

Just as the "church" and the "churchmen" have butchered Matthew 5, they've butchered Luke 20 - and just pretty much everything else Christ has said - all in pursuit of keeping men bound to the evil little g "governments of the world" - they've butchered Luke 14. A failure - a refusal - a rebellion is really what it is - to reconcile the Words of Christ with the rest of the context of Scripture is how they conclude that Christ changed everything - and now demands that men NEVER resist evil - but rather submit to evil, verse 1.

- [1] And it came to pass, as he went into the house of one of the chief Pharisees to eat bread on the sabbath day, that they watched him.
- [2] And, behold, there was a certain man before him which had the dropsy.
- [3] And Jesus answering spake unto the lawyers and Pharisees, saying, Is it lawful to heal on the sabbath day?
- [4] And they held their peace. And he took him, and healed him, and let him go;
- [5] And answered them, saying, Which of you shall have an ass or an ox fallen into a pit, and will not straightway pull him out on the sabbath day?
- [6] And they could not answer him again to these things.
- [7] And he put forth a parable to those which were bidden, when he marked how

they chose out the chief rooms; saying unto them,
[8] When thou art bidden of any man to a wedding, sit not down in the highest room; lest a more honourable man than thou be bidden of him;
[9] And he that bade thee and him come and say to thee, Give this man place; and thou begin with shame to take the lowest room.
[10] But when thou art bidden, go and sit down in the lowest room; that when he that bade thee cometh, he may say unto thee, Friend, go up higher: then shalt thou have worship in the presence of them that sit at meat with thee.
[11] For whosoever exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted.
[12] Then said he also to him that bade him, When thou makest a dinner or a supper, call not thy friends, nor thy brethren, neither thy kinsmen, nor thy rich neighbours; lest they also bid thee again, and a recompence be made thee.
[13] But when thou makest a feast, call the poor, the maimed, the lame, the blind:
[14] And thou shalt be blessed; for they cannot recompense thee: for thou shalt be recompensed at the resurrection of the just.
[15] And when one of them that sat at meat with him heard these things, he said unto him, Blessed is he that shall eat bread in the kingdom of God.
[16] Then said he unto him, A certain man made a great supper, and bade many:
[17] And sent his servant at supper time to say to them that were bidden, Come; for all things are now ready.
[18] And they all with one consent began to make excuse. The first said unto him, I have bought a piece of ground, and I must needs go and see it: I pray thee have me excused.
[19] And another said, I have bought five yoke of oxen, and I go to prove them: I pray thee have me excused.
[20] And another said, I have married a wife, and therefore I cannot come.
[21] So that servant came, and shewed his lord these things. Then the master of the house being angry said to his servant, Go out quickly into the streets and lanes of the city, and bring in hither the poor, and the maimed, and the halt, and the blind.
[22] And the servant said, Lord, it is done as thou hast commanded, and yet there is room.
[23] And the lord said unto the servant, Go out into the highways and hedges, and compel them to come in, that my house may be filled.
[24] For I say unto you, That none of those men which were bidden shall taste of my supper.

[25] And there went great multitudes with him: and he turned, and said unto them,

[26] If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple.

Really? Well, it appears that Jesus unraveled His Father's Law, doesn't it? It appears that Paul didn't get the message when the book of Ephesians was written.

Not at all. The word hate does not mean the same today as it meant back then. Jesus was not at all - no more than He was telling His followers to submit to evil - pay Caesar - and all the other garbage the "churchmen" are teaching - Jesus was not telling His listeners to hate their parents - hate their wives - hate the children - hate their brothers and sisters. The word doesn't mean what we think it means.

Turn the other cheek doesn't you let a rapist come into your home and rape your wife - then you offer them your daughter. Go the extra mile doesn't mean be the biggest flag-waver on the block. You can't take Matthew 5 and use it to unravel everything else from Genesis 1 to Matthew 4 - and then from Matthew 6 to Revelation 22. That's not what Christ did.

But that is exactly what the "church" and their "churchmen" have done.

Luke 14:26 is one of the greatest examples to show people that Jesus did NOT unravel the Laws of God. The words don't mean the same thing today as they meant back then.

The word hates means anything less than love. It does not mean detest, abhor, despise. And in Luke 14:26 it means that we should love Christ more than our love for our familys.

Christ did not unravel the Laws of God.